

# 1 **Modeling the radio echo reflections inside the ice sheet at Summit,**

## 2 **Greenland**

3 W. D. Miners <sup>1</sup> and E. W. Wolff

4 British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environmental Research Council, Cambridge, UK

5 J. C. Moore

6 Arctic Centre, University of Lapland, Rovaniemi, Finland

7 R. Jacobel

8 Department of Physics, St. Olaf College, Northfield, Minnesota, USA

9 L. Hempel

10 Institut für Geophysik, Forschungsstelle für Physikalische Glaziologie, Universität Münster, Germany

11 <sup>1</sup> Now at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, UK.

12 **Abstract.** Radio echo surveys to determine the thickness of ice sheets often record reflections  
13 from inside the ice. To increase our understanding of these internal reflections we have used  
14 synthetic seismogram techniques from early seismic modeling to construct two models. Both  
15 models were one-dimensional; the first considered only primary reflections, while the second  
16 included both primary and multiple reflections. The inputs to both models were a radio pulse and  
17 data from the Greenland Ice core Project (GRIP) core of length 3028 m. The ice core data was  
18 a profile of the high frequency conductivity, calculated from dielectric profile (DEP)

1 measurements, and a smooth profile of the real permittivity. The models produced synthetic  
2 radargrams which are the energy reflected from conductivity variations as a function of the two-  
3 way travel time. Both models gave similar results, indicating that multiples do not alter the travel  
4 time of the reflections, i.e. no O'Doherty-Anstey effect at our time resolution. One of the results  
5 was then processed to simulate passing through the receiver circuit of a radio echo system and  
6 then compared with a recorded trace. The processed result contained many of the larger  
7 reflections recorded below about 500 m, including nearly all the features from depths greater than  
8 1500 m, in particular several interstadial events in the Wisconsin age ice. Since high frequency  
9 conductivity variations are dominated by chemical changes which are caused by deposition on  
10 the surface of the ice sheet, it is possible to conclude that the reflections deep inside the  
11 Greenland ice sheet can be treated as isochrones.

## 12 **1. Introduction**

13 *Robin et al.* [1969] hypothesized that deep internal reflections in an ice sheet could be  
14 treated as surfaces of constant age (isochrones). If the hypothesis is true it would allow the  
15 findings at any given drill site to be extrapolated over a region covered by a radio echo (radar)  
16 survey that passed through the drill site. It would also mean that the spacing and divergence of  
17 internal reflections seen in surveys of ice sheets would provide extra information for workers  
18 modeling the flow of the ice [*Whillans, 1976; Paterson, 1994*].

19 To establish that an internal reflection traced over a large area is an isochrone it is first  
20 necessary to date the reflection at a single location. At a single location an ice core is necessary  
21 to establish an age-depth relation. If a feature in the ice core can be dated and correlated with a  
22 reflection then both can be given the same date. There have been many comparisons of profiles

1 of ice core properties against radio echo profiles collected at the same site [e.g., *Clough*, 1977;  
2 *Ackley and Keliher*, 1979; *Hammer*, 1980; *Millar*, 1981b, 1982; *Nishio and Ohmae*, 1985;  
3 *Yoshida et al.*, 1987; *Blindow*, 1994a]. The problems of such comparisons are discussed in  
4 *Gudmandsen* [1975].

5 A better method to correlate a feature in an ice core to a reflection is by a model whose  
6 inputs are the ice core properties and a radio pulse, and whose output is a reflection profile. If  
7 the model is one-dimensional then any reflection in the output can be related to the core  
8 properties at a single depth. Such models were used widely and successfully in early  
9 investigations of seismic waves to produce synthetic seismograms and develop an understanding  
10 of the causes of the reflections [*Peterson et al.*, 1955; *Dennison*, 1960]. We use one-dimensional  
11 models in this paper to produce synthetic radargrams [*Moore*, 1988b].

12 A phenomenon of one-dimensional seismic models which include multiple reflections  
13 is that very short-delay “peg-leg” multiple paths cause a slightly delayed signal to become  
14 stronger than the direct transmitted signal. This effect can lead to an alteration in the arrival  
15 times of reflections and is called the O’Doherty-Anstey effect [*O’Doherty and Anstey*, 1971].  
16 This can lead to the prediction not matching the recorded reflections. In order to see if this  
17 happened in our modeling we constructed two models, one of which considered only primary  
18 reflections, while the other considered both primary and multiple reflections. The outputs from  
19 the two models are compared with each other in this paper, allowing a conclusion to be reached  
20 on the importance of this effect. After this first comparison we then compare the output of one  
21 of the models with radio echo data. This enables us to arrive at some conclusions on the origin  
22 of the reflectors deep inside the ice sheet, and whether they can be treated as isochrones.

## 23 **2. Background**

## 2.1. Internal reflections

Weak, stratified internal reflections from deep inside an ice sheet were first noticed in 1964 during a traverse of North West Greenland by a group from the Scott Polar Research Institute [Bailey *et al.*, 1964]. When a single pulse was transmitted into the ice there was a continuous energy return in the first few microseconds. This was expected, and attributed to the many density variations in the firn near the surface. However, unexpected weak reflections were recorded after the end of the continuous signal, but before the arrival of the bedrock reflection [Robin *et al.*, 1969]. The majority of the traverse was surveyed using a form of display called a “Z scope”, where the returning energy is differentiated, and each trace is plotted against time adjacent to the previous trace. On such displays these weak reflections had some continuity parallel to the surface and behaved as if originating from specular, polished reflectors lying parallel to the surface [Evans, 1966]. Weak internal reflections are now noticed routinely with a variety of radar systems in Greenland, Antarctica and other polar regions [Bogorodsky *et al.*, 1985].

## 2.2. Understanding Internal Reflections

The development of an understanding of the cause of internal reflections can be related to the growing knowledge of the factors influencing the electrical properties of ice. Early studies revealed that the relative real permittivity ( $\epsilon'_r$ ) of ice had a plateau in the radio frequency region ( $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$ ), often called the high frequency value, and that this value could be related to density. This led to the first hypothesis that each internal reflection was caused by the existence of a single discrete layer of ice with a higher density (and hence a higher  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$ ) than the ice above and below [Robin *et al.*, 1969]. Subsequent experiments with polar ice and firn confirmed the hypothesis, and went on to consider how monochromatic waves traveling through ice sheets would be

1 reflected from such layers [*Paren and Robin, 1975; Paren, 1981*].

2 An improved model considered the reflection strength from a statistical variation of  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$   
3 with depth [*Harrison, 1973*]. Harrison concluded that in those parts of the record where no  
4 single strong reflection dominated, the echo received at the surface consisted of the interference  
5 between many weak reflections from small changes in  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$ . Such a model agrees with the  
6 observed behavior of the reflections on radio echo profiles when either the duration of the  
7 transmitted pulse is altered [*Robin et al., 1969; Harrison, 1972; Gudmandsen, 1976; Jacobel et*  
8 *al., 1993*], or the frequency is changed [*Millar, 1981a*].

9 Deep inside ice sheets, densification reduces the variation in  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  and variation of other  
10 properties becomes the dominant mechanism causing reflections. The calculated depth at which  
11 density variation is no longer dominant has become shallower as research has progressed: 1500  
12 m [*Paren and Robin, 1975*], 1000 m [*Clough, 1977; Robin et al., 1969*], 500 m [*Millar, 1981b*],  
13 250 m [*Moore, 1988a*]. Recent work by *Fujita et al. [1999]*, measuring at two frequencies in  
14 Antarctica, has put the transition just below 1000 m. However, the densification for any  
15 particular site will vary with the latitude and local climate.

16 Ice has a small conductivity ( $\sigma$ ) which, similar to  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$ , has a plateau in the radio frequency  
17 region ( $\sigma_{\infty}$ ). It has long been known that the conductivity can be altered by adding chemicals to  
18 the water prior to freezing the sample [e.g. *Camplin and Glen, 1973*]. However, polar ice was  
19 thought to be relatively free of chemicals, and it was only as a result of later measurements that  
20 the conductivity of polar ice was shown to vary with depth. This led to the suggestion that the  
21 downward-propagating electromagnetic pulse could be reflecting from variations in the  
22 conductivity. An early paper considering this phenomenon hypothesized that either step changes  
23 in conductivity or layers with a larger conductivity, were responsible for the internal reflections  
24 [*Paren and Robin, 1975*].

1 Another possible cause of internal reflections is anisotropy of ice crystals. In a sample  
2 of polar ice there are many single crystals each orientated in different directions, possibly with  
3 one or more preferred orientations giving the sample a fabric. *Hargreaves* [1977, 1978] showed  
4 how the real part of the permittivity tensor could be calculated from the fabric. This led to a  
5 series of papers which have suggested that the variations in the real part of the permittivity tensor  
6 could be causing reflections [*Clough*, 1977; *Fujita and Mae*, 1994].

7 As more measurements were made on ice cores authors were able to make better  
8 estimates of the relative importance of the different mechanisms in producing reflections [*Ackley*  
9 *and Keliher*, 1979]. The factors controlling where the real permittivity and conductivity of polar  
10 ice plateau in the radio-frequency region have recently been summarized [*Miners*, 1998; *Wolff*,  
11 2000]. The real part of the permittivity tensor is most likely to alter abruptly due to a change in  
12 the porosity or fabric of the ice. The conductivity tensor is most likely to alter abruptly due to  
13 changes in the impurity content or porosity.

14 Near the surface, density variations (for example, in firn with occasional icy melt layers),  
15 will be the dominant cause of reflections. Deeper in the ice, where density variations are very  
16 small, changes in fabric can change the permittivity by a maximum of about 1% [*Matsuoka et*  
17 *al.*, 1997 a], while changes in impurity content can alter the conductivity by threefold or more.  
18 Which factor is more important depends on the relative magnitude of fabric and conductivity  
19 variations, on the ice temperature, and on the frequency of the radar [*Fujita et al.*, 2000]. For  
20 central Antarctica, *Fujita et al.* [1999] have shown that fabric variations could play an important  
21 role. The balance is shifted strongly towards conductivity being more important at warmer sites,  
22 such as those in central Greenland. At Summit, there are large conductivity contrasts both in  
23 volcanic eruptions and between warm and cold phases of Dansgaard-Oeschger cycles. There is  
24 also no evidence [*Thorsteinsson et al.*, 1997] for the persistent variations (of 30% or more) with

1 depth of crystal orientation fabrics that would be needed [Fujita *et al.*, 2000] to alter the real  
2 permittivity enough to play a significant role. It is therefore likely, that the effect of conductivity  
3 dominates, particularly at the frequency of 60 MHz considered in this paper.

### 4 **2.3. Synthetic Radargrams**

5 Synthetic radargrams are produced using models that convolve idealized radar pulses with  
6 reflectivity coefficients derived from measurements on the ice core. All the models described  
7 here are one-dimensional, and treat the electromagnetic pulse from the antenna as propagating  
8 downward perpendicular to a horizontally-stratified ice sheet. The ice properties in each layer  
9 are considered to be isotropic and only the high frequency values  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  and  $\sigma_{\infty}$  are used in each  
10 layer. The models differ only in whether they include a frequency dependence in the reflection  
11 coefficient, multiple reflections or absorption.

12 The first published synthetic radargram for radio echo sounding of ice was by *Moore*  
13 [1988b]. His model considered frequency-independent primary reflections without absorption  
14 and produced a predicted power reflection profile. Moore's data came from a 133 m long ice  
15 core recovered from Dolleman Island, Antarctica. The  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  was assumed to be constant with  
16 depth, and the  $\sigma_{\infty}$  profile was calculated from dielectric profile measurements (DEP) with a  
17 resolution of 5 cm [*Moore and Paren*, 1987]. However, no radar data were available for  
18 comparison with his prediction.

19 More recently a 215 m long ice core was recovered from the Filchner Ronne ice shelf.  
20 On this core meter-spaced measurements of density were used to calculate the  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  profile and  
21 the electrolytic conductivity of melted samples, with a mean sample spacing of 0.6 m (H. Oerter,  
22 unpublished data, 2001) were used to calculate the  $\sigma_{\infty}$  profile. At this site a radio echo survey  
23 was available, and two authors have undertaken modeling. The first, *Stock* [1993], included

1 frequency-dependent reflection coefficients, multiples and absorption in his model. His  
2 modeling obtained a fairly close match to the decay of reflected power with time and was also  
3 successful in matching the phase and amplitude of the reflection from the meteoric-marine ice  
4 boundary. The second, *Blindow* [1994b], considered frequency-independent primary reflections  
5 without multiples or absorption, and was equally successful.

6 *Blindow's* model was next used on the 181 m long Thyssenhöhe ice core from near the  
7 southern summit of Berkner Island, Antarctica. The properties of this ice core were available at  
8 a finer depth resolution, having been measured at centimeter resolution using gamma ray  
9 attenuation to calculate the  $\epsilon'_{\text{ice}}$  profile [*Gerland et al.*, 1999] and by DEP to calculate the  $\sigma_{\infty}$   
10 profile [*Miners and Mulvaney*, 1995]. This modeling tried, with limited success, to match the  
11 phase and amplitude of the internal reflections in the first 100 m [*Miners et al.*, 1997].

12 The Thyssenhöhe ice core data were then used in another study. In it four one-  
13 dimensional models were developed, one of which was a finite difference time domain model,  
14 and two of which were similar to the models described in the appendix of this paper. The  
15 predictions from all four models matched. But there was no success in obtaining a match  
16 between the model results and the radio echo data [*Miners*, 1998]. The lack of success may have  
17 been due to many reasons, including the possibility that a one-dimensional model is not adequate  
18 for modeling shallow reflections in the 181 m long Thyssenhöhe ice core. However, as  
19 electromagnetic waves entering an ice sheet at angles other than vertical are refracted towards  
20 the vertical as they travel down [*Rees and Donovan*, 1992], a one-dimensional model is more  
21 representative for deeper reflections in the 3028 m long GRIP ice core now under consideration.

### 22 **3. The Data**

#### 23 **3.1. The GRIP project**

1           The GRIP project, which involved eight European nations, drilled at 72° 34.5' N 37° 38.5'  
 2 W, the summit of the Greenland ice sheet. Drilling started in the summer of 1989 and continued  
 3 over the next three summers. On 12th July 1992, drilling stopped at a depth of 3028.65 m when  
 4 the drill had penetrated 6 meters of debris-laden basal ice. The GRIP drill hole did not deviate  
 5 more than 3° from the vertical [Johnsen *et al.*, 1994] and less than one meter of core was lost in  
 6 the drilling process [Dansgaard *et al.*, 1993].

### 7           **3.2 The relative real permittivity profile inside the ice sheet**

8           The variation of  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  with depth inside the ice sheet will determine the speed of the  
 9 electromagnetic wave and the time interval between a pulse entering the ice sheet and the return  
 10 of its reflection to the surface, the two-way travel time ( $t_{\text{two}}$ ). The DEP measurement of  
 11 permittivity has a poor accuracy, so errors in  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  would generate false reflections. Therefore, it  
 12 is better to calculate  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  from the density ( $\rho$ , kg m<sup>-3</sup>) of the ice core, using, for example, a cubic  
 13 equation derived from the Looyenga equation for dielectric mixtures [Paren, 1970; Glen and  
 14 Paren, 1975]. Assuming solid ice has  $\epsilon'_{r\infty} = 3.17$  and  $\rho = 917$  kg m<sup>-3</sup> then:

$$\epsilon'_{\infty r} = \left( 1 + 0.51 \times 10^{-3} \rho \right)^3 \quad (1)$$

15           There are other possible equations such as an empirically derived quadratic given in Kovacs *et*  
 16 *al.* [1995]. It has been shown, however, that there is little to distinguish between the many  
 17 possible equations relating density and  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  [Stiles and Ulaby, 1981; Sihvola *et al.*, 1985; Sihvola

1 *and Lindell, 1992*].

2           Unfortunately, no weighing of the core sections was done at the GRIP drill-site.  
3 Therefore, for the modeling in this paper the density record used is a combination of the  
4 measurements from two sites. First, from Site A, 170 km south of GRIP [*Alley and Koci, 1988*]  
5 which has measurements from the surface to a depth of 100 m. Second, from the GISP2 site, 30  
6 km west of GRIP [*Gow et al., 1997*] where there are nine measurements between the depths of  
7 250 m and 3000 m. The fifteen  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  values produced from the combined measurements on the  
8 two cores are spaced too far apart vertically to give any realistic modeling of reflections from  
9 permittivity variations. However, the  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  values are necessary in the model to give the speed of  
10 the electromagnetic wave, which will change with depth inside the ice sheet. The values used  
11 in the model are interpolated from the available measurements. The profile of  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  used in the  
12 modeling is shown in Figure 1a.

### 13 **3.3. The conductivity profile inside the ice sheet**

14           The conductivity inside the ice sheet at GRIP is calculated from the DEP measurements  
15 on the ice core [*Moore et al., 1994*]. In the DEP instrument used at GRIP, both electrodes were  
16 inside an earthed box with the top electrode split into 120 two centimeter wide strips. The  
17 conductance and capacitance were measured at twenty frequencies between 120 Hz and 300 kHz;  
18  $\sigma_{\infty}$  was then calculated by fitting these values to a linearized Debye equation. The  $\sigma_{\infty}$  record has  
19 a depth resolution of 2 centimeters, and extends from a value centered on 148.045 m to a value  
20 centered on 3028.600 m.

21           The conductivity of ice increases with temperature, so that the conductivity of the ice core  
22 measured by the DEP at the surface will be different from the conductivity of the sample when  
23 it was deep inside the ice sheet. Therefore, the temperature inside the DEP box was measured

1 during the logging of each piece of core. By combining these temperature measurements with  
2 the temperature profile of the ice sheet, calculated from lowering thermistors down the borehole  
3 [*Johnsen et al.*, 1995], it was possible to calculate the in-situ  $\sigma_{\infty}$  record inside the ice sheet using  
4 the published temperature dependencies of the conductivity [*Miners*, 1998].

5 The profile of in-situ  $\sigma_{\infty}$  used in the modeling is shown in Figure 1b. The decrease in  
6 conductivity at a depth of about 1600 m corresponds to the transition from the Holocene to the  
7 Pleistocene and is discussed further in section 5.2. It can also be seen how  $\sigma_{\infty}$  increases as the  
8 base of the ice sheet is approached due to warming near the bedrock.

### 9 **3.4. The Radio Echo Data**

10 Three radio echo systems have been used near Summit. These are discussed below but  
11 only one will be compared with the model output. The Technical University of Denmark (TUD)  
12 system was a 60 MHz airborne burst transmission system, which when attached to a digital  
13 recording system was capable of recording reflections from the bedrock and internal layers [*Skou*  
14 *and Sondergaard*, 1976; *Wright et al.*, 1989; *Jacobel and Hodge*, 1995]. The Forschungsstelle  
15 für Physikalische Glaziologie (FPG) at the University of Münster provided two ground-based  
16 systems: a single pulse 35 MHz system designed to image to a depth of 1000 m; the other a 35  
17 MHz burst transmitter used to image the bedrock [*Hempel and Thyssen*, 1992].

18 The records from the two burst transmitter systems are relevant to this paper as they  
19 record the deep internal reflections. The details of the collection parameters for the two burst  
20 pulse systems can be seen in Table 1. In Figure 2 we compare the TUD results (collected 1500  
21 m away from the GRIP drill site) with the FPG results (collected 20 m away from the GRIP drill  
22 site). In the single traces from the TUD data (Figure 2a) and the FPG data (Figure 2d) there are  
23 differences in the number of internal reflections visible. There are two reasons for this: firstly,

1 the TUD has not had automatic gain control applied to it, and secondly, the FPG trace has 10 ns  
2 sampling which is four times that of the TUD. Despite differences in the individual traces, the  
3 Z scope displays in Figure 2b and Figure 2c are similar, with ‘quiet regions’ near 23  $\mu$ s,  
4 corresponding to the top of the Pleistocene, and near 32  $\mu$ s, above the bedrock. These  
5 similarities are due to both systems transmitting at frequencies where the electrical properties of  
6 the ice are similar (60 MHz for TUD and 35 MHz for FPG) and also due to the uniform  
7 stratigraphy of the ice sheet in the area where both records are collected. This uniformity extends  
8 for at least a few thousand metres, a distance comparable with the depth of the ice sheet at this  
9 location. Such a stratigraphy supports the use of horizontally stratified layers in our one-  
10 dimensional models.

11 The similarity in the Z scope displays means that we could select either burst record for  
12 comparison with the model output. We use the TUD record since its pulse is better defined than  
13 the FPG pulse and the TUD record has reflections over the entire profile from surface to bedrock.

#### 14 **4. The Modeling**

15 Two models will be used, both of which are one-dimensional and consider a pulse  
16 propagating perpendicular to a horizontally-stratified ice sheet. A horizontally-stratified ice sheet  
17 seems to be a good approximation as the slopes in the bedrock and the layers visible in the entire  
18 Z scope records are low and the echoes are largely specular.

19 One-dimensional models cannot simulate spherical spreading of the energy as it travels  
20 out from the transmitter into the ice sheet. This means that the model result will not contain the  
21 correct decay in reflection strength as  $t_{\text{two}}$  increases. But this is not a disadvantage as the  
22 recorded TUD radio echo trace does not contain the decay in reflection strength. The recorded  
23 trace has passed through several electronic filters, including a logarithmic amplifier, which have

1 removed the information on the echo strength coming out of the ice sheet. We decided,  
2 therefore, not to compare the absolute value of the reflections in the model results and the radio  
3 echo record.

4 The first model used in this paper considers only primary reflections: pulses that travel  
5 down to an interface, are reflected, and travel back to the surface. This model takes no account  
6 of pulses that undergo multiple reflections inside the ice sheet, nor is there any account of any  
7 losses that occur inside the ice sheet.

8 The second model includes primary reflections, multiples and losses. The two major loss  
9 mechanisms in a one-dimensional model are absorption loss within each layer and transmission  
10 loss while crossing the interfaces between layers on the way down and up. The details of the two  
11 models are given in an appendix at the end of this paper.

12 Both the models require the profiles of  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  and  $\sigma_{\infty}$  in the ice sheet and an estimate of  
13 the electromagnetic pulse entering the ice sheet from the radio echo system. The ice sheet is  
14 represented as a stack of 20 mm thick layers; each layer has its own values of  $\epsilon'_{r\infty}$  and  $\sigma_{\infty}$ . These  
15 values were interpolated from the nearest depth ice core values [*Miners*, 1998].

16 The incident pulse used in the models is a replica of the pulse transmitted by the TUD  
17 system, a 60 MHz carrier with a duration of 250 ns. An envelope is applied to the carrier which  
18 tapers off smoothly for the first and last quarter. This pulse is shown in Figure 3.

19 It is worth briefly considering the possible changes in the results that models of higher  
20 dimensions would have produced. As discussed earlier in this section, higher dimension models  
21 would have included spherical spreading. They would also have given a more accurate  
22 representation of the impulse response at each interface between ice layers with different  
23 properties. The impulse response from a higher dimension model would have a similar initial  
24 reflection time but a longer duration tail to the reflection as the off-central axis reflections

1 occurred at oblique angles. However, as the core data are one-dimensional, higher dimension  
2 models would require assumptions about the higher dimensional distribution of the ice sheet  
3 properties.

4 Another possible criticism is that neither of the two models used in this paper considers  
5 the properties of the ice as tensors. This is due to the absence of tensor data for conductivity and  
6 real permittivity. A model using anisotropic properties of the ice would also require a more  
7 elaborate specification of the transmitted pulse. This omission is thought not to be important due  
8 to the small size of the anisotropy as discussed in section 2.2.

## 9 **5. Results**

### 10 **5.1. Comparison of raw results from the two models.**

11 Figures 4a and 4b show the raw results from the two models. As described in the  
12 appendix these are an indication of the energy coming out of the ice sheet, before entering the  
13 receiver electronics of the radio echo system. For this reason, the main frequency content of the  
14 results is the 60 MHz frequency that was in the pulse transmitted by the TUD system. The results  
15 are only an indication of the energy that would exit the ice sheet as the models are one-  
16 dimensional and so do not include the effect of spherical spreading.

17 The main noticeable difference in the results from models one and model two is that the  
18 inclusion of losses in model two reduces the amplitudes of the reflections from late travel times,  
19 showing the importance of conduction losses.

20 In Figure 5 an enlarged portion of the late travel time model results data are displayed,  
21 with the amplitudes normalized for the section under consideration. This figure shows that both  
22 models predict deep reflections with similar  $t_{\text{width}}$ . So multiple reflections and losses do not  
23 influence the travel time at the time resolutions used here, i.e. we have no evidence of an

1 O'Doherty-Anstey effect. As the  $t_{\text{wtt}}$  of the internal reflections from both models are similar  
2 then the only consideration is whether we wish to compare the radar data with a result that has  
3 losses (model two) or a result which does not have losses (model one). We will proceed by using  
4 the result from model one as it requires fewer steps to be comparable with the radar data, as there  
5 is no need for a  $t_{\text{wtt}}$  dependent amplification.

## 6 **5.2. Comparison of the model results with the radar and the conductivity data**

7 The model results shown in Figures 4 and 5 are an indication of the energy coming out  
8 of the ice sheet. The recorded TUD radio echo trace looks different. This is because the energy  
9 that came out of the ice sheet passed through the receiver electronics before being written to tape.  
10 The receiver electronics, and in particular the logarithmic amplifier, removed the 60 MHz  
11 variation giving a smoothed envelope to the radio echo trace.

12 In order to compare the model trace with the recorded radio echo trace, the model trace  
13 needs to go through a series of processing steps which try to imitate the receiver electronics. For  
14 the trace from model one these steps were: (1) apply a 4MHz bandpass filter, (2) convert to base  
15 band (multiply by a 60 MHz carrier and then low pass filter) and (3) take the gradient. This  
16 produces what will be called the processed model trace. It has approximately the same frequency  
17 content as the radar data. What is not known is the time lag and phase rotation that the receiver  
18 electronics would have given to the received energy. The processed model trace has been given  
19 a time shift of 0.01  $\mu\text{s}$  and a phase rotation of -112 degrees as this allows an easier comparison  
20 of the interstadial reflections, which will be discussed later.

21 The comparison of the model to the radar is shown in Figure 6: 6a is the conductivity  
22 record, which has been plotted against  $t_{\text{wtt}}$ , 6b is the raw model trace, 6c is the processed model  
23 trace and 6d is a TUD radar trace. The general form of the processed model trace (6c) and the

1 TUD trace (6d) are similar. In the top part of the ice sheet (earlier than 20  $\mu$ s), both contain many  
2 large amplitude reflections. Then, later on, both traces have fewer reflections.

3 In the earlier part of the raw model trace a few large reflections stand out, such as those  
4 at 8 $\mu$ s, 15 $\mu$ s and 17 $\mu$ s. These large reflections can be related to peaks in the conductivity record  
5 and then across through the processed model trace to peaks in the TUD radar trace. This top part  
6 of the ice sheet at GRIP has been the subject of a previous comparison of radar and conductivity.  
7 *Hempel et al.* [2000] compared the results from the FPG high resolution single pulse radar survey  
8 and electrical conductivity measurement (ECM) data from the top 800 m of the GRIP core. They  
9 found a remarkable coincidence between large ECM peaks, due to fallout of volcanic acid, and  
10 the depth of strong reflectors, suggesting that such conductivity contrasts are the dominant factor  
11 controlling internal reflections between about 180 m and 800 m depth at GRIP.

12 At the beginning of the Holocene (a depth of 1624 m,  $t_{\text{twtt}}$  of 19  $\mu$ s) there is a dip in the  
13 conductivity profile. The ice at this depth accumulated during the Younger Dryas period. This  
14 layer of lower conductivity in the ice causes few reflections in the raw model trace and lower  
15 amplitude reflections in the processed model trace. There are also lower amplitude reflections  
16 in the TUD radar trace. Immediately after this Younger Dryas period the processed model trace  
17 does not produce as large a peak as seen in the TUD radar trace. However, the longer period with  
18 fewer reflections in both model traces between 21 and 24  $\mu$ s shows up clearly in the TUD radar  
19 trace.

20 In the lower part of the ice sheet, seen in Figure 7, between a  $t_{\text{twtt}}$  of 20 and 30  $\mu$ s the  
21 model results contain reflections with similar travel times and widths to the reflections seen in  
22 the radio echo data. The conductivity changes causing the reflections in this part of the ice sheet  
23 are mainly due to the alternating alkaline (stadial - cold period) and acidic (interstadial (IS) -  
24 warm period) nature of the ice. Between 25 and 29  $\mu$ s in the TUD trace there are a series of three

1 reflections. Seen in more detail in Figure 7d, these are all clearly present in the raw model trace  
2 and in the increased activity of the conductivity data. The peaks in the conductivity attributed  
3 to these reflections occur during longer interstadials during the Wisconsin Glacial. They are  
4 identified as IS 8 (25.8  $\mu$ s), IS 12 (27.3  $\mu$ s) and IS 14 (28.3  $\mu$ s). The duration of each of these  
5 events is somewhat longer than the Younger Dryas, showing that, although the events are nearly  
6 1 km deeper in the ice, they are well detected by the TUD radar. If the electronic modification  
7 of the received signal before digitization was less extreme, it is likely that the Younger Dryas and  
8 many of the other interstadial events would be much better resolved by radar.

9           Between 30  $\mu$ s and 35  $\mu$ s, seen in Figure 6, there are no major reflections in the TUD  
10 data. It has been suggested, along with other possible mechanisms, that the absence of strong  
11 reflections is due to the presence of folding near the base of the ice sheet [*Jacobel and Hodge,*  
12 1995]. However, the model does predict reflections over this interval, due to assuming that  
13 boundaries seen in the ice core are continuous and flat enough to form reflections. If there is  
14 folding in the layers of ice in the base of the ice sheet then there will not be a sufficiently  
15 continuous boundary to form a reflection. Alternatively, it may be that the amplitude of the  
16 reflections from internal layers at this depth were too small for the TUD radar system to record.

## 17 **6. Discussion and Conclusions**

18           Several properties of the ice sheet could be causing the internal reflections seen in the  
19 radio echo data. However, by using the models presented in this paper we have reproduced, at  
20 least to a first approximation, many of the features seen in the radio echo data. In the models,  
21 the real permittivity (from density) was a smooth profile and would not have generated any  
22 reflections. Thus the only property that does vary in the models, the conductivity, is  
23 predominantly responsible for causing the reflections.

1           Consider the origin of the conductivity profile used in the models; the conductivity is  
2           calculated from DEP measurements of capacitance and conductivity at frequencies between 20  
3           Hz and 300 kHz. These values are then used to determine  $\sigma_{\infty}$ , a value thought to be close to the  
4           true high frequency conductivity of the ice. It has been shown in many publications [*Moore*,  
5           1988a; *Moore et al.*, 1992 a, b; *Moore et al.*, 1994; *Wolff et al.*, 1995] that  $\sigma_{\infty}$  is closely correlated  
6           to the chemical impurities in the ice core. There are also models that have been produced to  
7           explain how the presence of chemical dopants can influence the number of charge carriers and  
8           hence the conductivity of the ice [*Hobbs*, 1974; *Petrenko*, 1993]. This does not preclude that the  
9           fabric may have an influence on the measured variations in  $\sigma_{\infty}$ . However, the influence of fabric  
10          on  $\sigma_{\infty}$  would be limited by the anisotropy of the individual ice crystals making up the fabric of  
11          the ice in the core. For conductivity at 300 kHz, *Hobbs* [1974] gives an anisotropy of between  
12          9% and 18%, while at 1 MHz *Matsuoka et al.*[1997 a] give a value of 20%. At higher  
13          frequencies, in the GHz range, there are detailed measurements giving a value close to 1%  
14          [*Fujita et al.*, 1993; *Matsuoka et al.*, 1997 a, b]. Fabric changes cannot cause more than a few  
15          percent change in the  $\sigma_{\infty}$ , while it has been shown that chemical impurities can alter  $\sigma_{\infty}$  by the  
16          order of one hundred percent.

17          The conclusion from the modeling in this paper is that conductivity is the dominant  
18          control on radar reflections, at frequencies close to 60 MHz, for at least the lower two thirds of  
19          the ice sheet at the GRIP site. Given that chemistry plays the dominant role in determining  
20          conductivity below the pore close-off depth [*Wolff*, 2000], it is therefore clear that either sharp  
21          peaks (volcanic fallout) or transitions between bands (interstadials) of increased chemical  
22          variability are the main causes of the internal reflections in Greenland. Such chemical layers will  
23          generally be spatially ubiquitous, leading to a second conclusion that the main radar reflectors  
24          are indeed isochrones that can be used to predict age-depth relationships, and as an aid to studies

1 of ice sheet dynamics. Higher-resolution radars should enable many more isochrones to be  
 2 detected, especially the long duration interstadial events in the Wisconsin age ice. The long  
 3 duration of these climate events makes them certain to be well represented in the snow cover  
 4 over the whole of Greenland, whereas the relatively short duration of even the most powerful  
 5 volcanoes can easily be removed by wind erosion or affected by the vagaries of local deposition  
 6 patterns. The greater depth of the interstadials also makes them particularly valuable in ice flow  
 7 studies.

## 8 **Appendix: Description of the models**

9 For the models used in this paper the partial differential, source-free wave equation was  
 10 solved using a separation constant of  $-\tilde{k}^2$ , where the  $\sim$  is used to indicate a complex quantity,  
 11 and a positive time exponent  $e^{i\omega t}$  so:

$$12 \quad \tilde{k}^2 = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r' \omega^2 - i \mu_0 \sigma \omega \quad (A1)$$

13 This is similar to *Budden* [1985] and *Staelin et al.* [1994].

### 14 **A.1. The pulse**

15 The time domain electric field of the pulse entering the ice sheet is represented in the  
 16 models as a discrete time series of real numbers (the measured  $E$  field is real) sampled at an  
 17 interval  $\Delta t$ . This series has zeros added to the end to form a length ( $N$ ) to allow the use of fast

1 Fourier transforms (*i.e.*  $N = 64, 128, 256$  etc). In the frequency domain this real pulse is  
 2 represented by a series of complex numbers. The frequencies are discrete and the index for the  
 3 frequency used is  $p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq N$ ). The dc value (zero hertz) is at  $p = 1$ , and the Nyquist  
 4 frequency ( $f_{Nyq} = 1 / (2 \times \Delta t)$ ) is at  $p = N/2+1$ . The relationship between the index  $p$  and the  
 5 frequency  $f$  are shown in the equation below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p &= \quad 2 \quad \quad 3 \quad \quad 4 \quad \quad \dots \quad \frac{N}{2}+1 \\
 f &= \quad \frac{2f_{Nyq}}{N} \quad \frac{2 \times 2f_{Nyq}}{N} \quad \frac{3 \times 2f_{Nyq}}{N} \quad \dots \quad f_{Nyq}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{A2}$$

6 The complex values in the frequency domain are termed the analytic function of the incident  
 7 pulse ( $\tilde{F}_{wp}$ ). The subscript  $p$  is the index. Due to the symmetry properties of real series in the  
 8 time domain, the complex values in the frequency domain beyond the Nyquist frequency  
 9 ( $N/2+2 \leq p \leq N$ ) are the conjugate of the values below the Nyquist ( $2 \leq p \leq N/2$ ).

## 10 **A.2. Model one: primary reflections without losses**

11 The stack of layers ( $1 \leq m \leq M$ ) each of equal thickness  $\Delta z$  are converted into a stack of  
 12 layers ( $1 \leq g \leq G$ ) each of equal two-way travel time  $\Delta t$ . This conversion is done using the non-  
 13 dispersive phase velocity of the pulse in each layer and the new stack is called a Goupillaud  
 14 medium [*Goupillaud, 1961*]. The pulse entering the model has 2048 samples, and as the shortest  
 15 two-way travel time between the layers in the Goupillaud medium is 0.1695 ns, this means that

1 the frequency of  $p=2$  is 2.9 MHz. This frequency is sufficiently far above the main dispersion  
 2 in ice (a few kHz), to justify the use of the high frequency values for relative real permittivity and  
 3 conductivity [Miners, 1998].

4 The reflected electric field at the surface starts as a discrete time series, of length  $G+N$ ,  
 5 with a time step  $\Delta t$  and a value of zero at each point. Each time step is the two-way travel time  
 6 in a layer. The calculation considers each interface in the Goupillaud medium in turn.

7 Between layers  $g$  and  $g+1$  the complex Fresnel (subscript F) amplitude (subscript A)  
 8 reflection coefficient at each frequency index  $p$  ( $\tilde{r}_{AFg g+1 p}$ ) is calculated using the equation

$$\tilde{r}_{AFg g+1 p} = \frac{\tilde{k}_{gp} - \tilde{k}_{g+1p}}{\tilde{k}_{gp} + \tilde{k}_{g+1p}} \quad (\text{A3})$$

9 where  $\tilde{k}_{gp}$  is the complex wavenumber in Goupillaud layer  $g$  at frequency index  $p$ . This  
 10 calculation is only performed for the frequency indices  $p$  in the range:  $2 \leq p \leq N/2+1$ , where the  
 11 incident pulse has an absolute magnitude in the frequency domain of greater than one thousandth  
 12 of the maximum absolute amplitude. The amplitude reflection coefficient values for the other  
 13 values of  $p$  are set to zero. The complex series produced by equation A3 is multiplied with the  
 14 complex analytic function of the incident pulse for the same indices ( $\tilde{F}_{wp}$ ). This gives the  
 15 complex analytic function of the reflected pulse for the frequency indices:  $2 \leq p \leq N/2+1$  at the  
 16 interface between  $g$  and  $g+1$ . It is then necessary to specify the rest of the frequency domain for  
 17 the reflected pulse. The dc value ( $p = 1$ ) is set to 0 and the complex values in the frequency

1 domain beyond the Nyquist (  $N/2+2 \leq p \leq N$  ) are determined using the symmetry properties of  
 2 real series, and are the conjugate of the values below the Nyquist (  $2 \leq p \leq N/2$  ).

3 An inverse Fourier transform is then applied to the series to give the reflected pulse in the  
 4 time domain at the interface. This is then superimposed onto the record of the electric field  
 5 coming out of the ice sheet. The first value in the reflected pulse series is added to the electric  
 6 field record starting at the two-way travel time ( $t_{\text{two}}$ ) of the interface under consideration. The  
 7 same procedure is repeated for all the interfaces in the Goupillaud medium, so that the reflected  
 8 pulses at each interface are added to each other as they are superimposed onto the electric field  
 9 record.

### 10 **A.3. Model two: primary and multiple reflections with losses.**

11 The first step in this model is to construct a frequency domain representation of the  
 12 ground. *Trorey* [1962], when considering synthetic seismograms, discussed how the frequency  
 13 domain solution for the ground contains all the multiples that last for infinite time. Transforming  
 14 this (using an inverse Fourier transform) into a finite time domain would cause problems and  
 15 could introduce aliasing errors. A way round this was described by *Nielsen* [1978]: assuming  
 16 a periodic pulse going into the ground it was possible to use a discrete Fourier transform as long  
 17 as the period of the transmission was much longer than the arrival time of the last multiples that  
 18 are of interest.

19 This condition is ensured, in this model, by adding sufficient zeros to the end of the  
 20 incident pulse so that the duration is long enough to record all the wanted reflections. As in  
 21 model one the incident pulse is expressed as a discrete time series of length  $N$  which is two raised  
 22 to an integer power. As the chosen sample interval was 50 ps this required  $N=2^{20}$ . This meant  
 23 that  $p = 2$  was at a frequency of 19 kHz, and it was not until  $p = 54$  that the MHz frequencies

1 were reached. For these low frequencies calculating the reflection coefficient using  $\epsilon'_{\infty}$  and  $\sigma_{\infty}$   
 2 would introduce an appreciable error. However, the long duration of the incident pulse meant  
 3 that its analytic function was sharply peaked in the frequency domain near 60MHz. The  
 4 modeling was therefore done with a reduced section of the frequency domain, as in model one,  
 5 so that the low  $p$  values could be neglected and set to zero.

6 In this model the amplitude and phase change to each of the monochromatic waves in the  
 7 reduced section of the frequency domain is considered as they travel into the ice and are reflected  
 8 back. This gives the reflection coefficient of each frequency component at the top of the stack  
 9 of layers. There are two algorithms that can be used for this model: propagation matrices or an  
 10 impedance stack. Propagation matrices have been used by *Lazaro-Mancilla and Gomez-Trevino*  
 11 [1996] and speeded up by *Choate* [1982]. Impedance stack algorithms are described by *Wait*  
 12 [1958, 1996], though he uses a positive separation constant ( $\tilde{\gamma}^2$ ) to solve the partial differential  
 13 source-free wave equation. Impedance stack algorithms have been used previously in radio  
 14 glaciology to consider monochromatic waves by *Ackley and Keliher* [1979], and *Moore* [1988b].  
 15 In this paper the impedance stack algorithm is used so that the complex amplitude reflection  
 16 coefficient between layers 1 and 2 at each frequency index  $p$  ( $\tilde{r}_{A12p}$ ) is given by the equation

$$\tilde{r}_{A12p} = \frac{\hat{Z}_{2p} - Z_{1p}}{\hat{Z}_{2p} + Z_{1p}} \quad (\text{A4})$$

17 where  $Z_{1p}$  is the bulk impedance of the first layer at frequency index  $p$  and  $\hat{Z}_{2p}$  is the input

1 (or surface) impedance of the second layer at frequency index  $p$ . The bulk impedance is given  
 2 by the equation

$$Z_{1p} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_{r\infty 1} - i \frac{\sigma_{\infty 1}}{\omega_p}}} \quad (\text{A5})$$

3 where  $\omega_p$  is the angular frequency at frequency index  $p$  and the input impedance is given by the  
 4 properties of the second and lower layers by the equation:

$$\hat{Z}_{2p} = Z_{2p} \frac{\hat{Z}_{3p} + Z_{2p} i \tan(\tilde{k}_{2p} \Delta z)}{Z_{2p} + \hat{Z}_{3p} i \tan(\tilde{k}_{2p} \Delta z)} \quad (\text{A6})$$

5 Once the reflection coefficient for the stack of layers ( $\tilde{r}_{A12p}$ ) has been obtained for the required  
 6 frequency indices in the range:  $2 \leq p \leq N/2+1$  then the other frequency indices in this range  
 7 are given a value of zero. This series is then multiplied with the similar range of the analytic  
 8 function for the incident pulse  $\tilde{F}_{wp}$ . The symmetry properties of real series are used to specify  
 9 the rest of the spectrum and then an inverse Fourier transform is used to obtain the time domain.

1           **Acknowledgments.** This work is a contribution to the Greenland Ice core Project  
2 (GRIP), a European Science Foundation program with eight nations (Belgium, Denmark, France,  
3 Germany, Iceland, Italy, Switzerland, and United Kingdom), and the EU, collaborating to drill  
4 through the central part of the Greenland ice sheet. Reviews by J. Kohler, C. Richardson-  
5 Näslund and recommendations by the Editors contributed to the final manuscript.

## References

Ackley, S. F., and T. E. Keliher, Ice sheet internal radio-echo reflections and associated physical property changes with depth, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84 (B10), 5675-5680, 1979.

Alley, R. B., and B. R. Koci, Ice core analysis at Site A., Greenland: preliminary results, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 10, 1-4, 1988.

Bailey, J. T., S. Evans, and G. de Q. Robin, Radio Echo Sounding of Polar ice sheets, *Nature*, 204, 420-421, 1964.

Blindow, N., The central part of the Filchner-Ronne ice shelf Antarctica: internal structures revealed by 40 MHz monopulse RES, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 20, 365-371, 1994a.

Blindow, N., Reflection amplitudes of 40 MHz monopulse radio echo sounding: Correlation with ice core data and ice dynamics, in *Filchner Ronne Ice Shelf Programme, Report No. 8*, edited by H. Oerter, pp. 5-8, Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany, 1994b.

Bogorodsky, V. V., C.R. Bentley, and P.E. Gudmandsen, *Radioglaciology*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, 1985.

Budden, K. G., *The propagation of radio waves*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.

1 Camplin, G. C., and J. W. Glen, The dielectric properties of HF doped single crystals of Ice, in  
2 *Physics and Chemistry of Ice*, edited by E. Whalley, S. J. Jones and L. W. Gold, pp. 256-  
3 261, Royal Society of Canada, 1973.

4 Choate, W. C., A fast algorithm for normal incidence seismograms, *Geophysics*, 47, 196-205,  
5 1982.

6 Clough, J. W., Radio Echo sounding, reflections from internal layers in ice sheets, *J. Glaciol.*,  
7 18, 3-14, 1977.

8 Dansgaard, W., et al., Evidence for general instability of past climate from a 250-kyr ice-core  
9 record, *Nature*, 364, 218-220, 1993.

10 Dennison, A. T., An introduction to synthetic seismogram techniques, *Geophys. Prosp.*, 8, 231-  
11 241, 1960.

12 Evans, S., Progress report on Radio Echo Sounding, *The Polar Rec.*, 13, 413-420, 1966.

13 Fujita, S., and S. Mae, Causes and nature of ice sheet radio-echo internal reflections estimated  
14 from the dielectric properties of ice, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 20, 80-86, 1994.

15 Fujita, S., S. Mae, and T. Matsuoka, Dielectric anisotropy in ice Ih at 9.7 GHz, *Ann Glaciol.*, 17,  
16 276-280, 1993.

1 Fujita, S., H. Maeno, S. Uratsuka, T. Furukawa, S. Mae, Y. Fujii, and O. Watanabe, Nature of  
2 radio echo layering in the Antarctic ice sheet detected by a two-frequency experiment.  
3 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 13,013-13,024, 1999.

4 Fujita, S., T. Matsuoka, T. Ishida, K. Matsuoka, and S. Mae, A summary of the complex  
5 dielectric permittivity of ice in the megahertz range and its application for radar sounding  
6 of polar ice sheets, in *Physics of Ice Core Records*, edited by T. Hondoh, pp. 185-212,  
7 Hokkaido University Press, Sapporo, 2000.

8 Gerland, S., H. Oerter, J. Kipfstuhl, F. Wilhelms, H. Miller, and W.D. Miners, Density log of  
9 a 181 m long ice core from Berkner Island, Antarctica, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 29, 215-219, 1999.

10 Gudmandsen, P., Studies of ice by means of radio echo sounding, *Rep. 162*, 22 pp.,  
11 Electromagnetics Inst., Technical University Denmark, 1976.

12 Gudmandsen, P., and Overgaard. WS., Establishment of time horizons in Polar ice sheets by  
13 means of RES.

14 Glen, J. W., and J. G. Paren, The electric properties of snow and ice, *J. Glaciol.*, 15, 15-38,  
15 1975.

16 Goupillaud, P. L., An approach to inverse filtering of near-surface layer effects from seismic  
17 records, *Geophysics*, 26, 754-760, 1961.

- 1 Gow, A. J., D.A. Meese, R. B. Alley, J. J. Fitzpatrick, S. Anandakrishnan, G. A. Woods, and B.  
2 C. Elder, Physical and Structural properties of the Greenland Ice Sheet Project ice core:  
3 A review, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 26,559-26,575, 1997.
- 4 Hammer, C. U., Acidity of Polar ice cores in relation to absolute dating, past volcanism, and  
5 radio echos, *J. Glaciol.*, 25, 359-372, 1980.
- 6 Hargreaves, N. D., The Polarisation of Radio Signals in Radio Echo Sounding of ice sheets,  
7 *J.Phys. D. Applied Phys.*, 10, 1285-1304, 1977.
- 8 Hargreaves, N. D., The Radio Frequency birefringence of Polar Ice, *J. Glaciol.*, 21, 301-313,  
9 1978.
- 10 Harrison, C. H., Radio propagation effects in glaciers, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge,  
11 England, 1972.
- 12 Harrison, C. H., Radio Echo Sounding of Horizontal layers in ice, *J. Glaciol.*, 12, 383-397,  
13 1973.
- 14 Hempel, L., and F. Thyssen, Deep Radio echo soundings in the vicinity of GRIP and GISP2 drill  
15 sites, Greenland, *Polarforschung*, 62, 11-16, 1992.
- 16 Hempel, L., F. Thyssen, N. Gundestrup, H. B. Clausen, and H. Miller, A comparison of radio  
17 echo sounding data and electrical conductivity of the GRIP ice core, *J. Glaciol.*, 46, 369-

1 374, 2000.

2 Hobbs, P. V., *Ice Physics*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1974.

3 Jacobel, R. W., and S. M. Hodge, Radar internal layers from the Greenland summit, *Geophys.*  
4 *Res. Lett.*, 22, 587-590, 1995.

5 Jacobel, R. W., A. M. Glades, D. L. Gottschling, S. M. Hodge, and D. L. Wright, Interpretation  
6 of radar detected internal layer folding in West Antarctic ice streams, *J. Glaciol.*, 39, 528-  
7 537, 1993.

8 Johnsen, S. J., N. S. Gundestrup, S. B. Hansen, J. Schwander, and H. Rufli, The new improved  
9 version of the ISTUK ice core drill, *Mem. Natl. Inst. Polar Res. Spec. Issue* 49, 9-23,  
10 1994.

11 Johnsen, S. J., D. Dahl-Jensen, W. Dansgaard, and N. Gundestrup, Greenland  
12 palaeotemperatures derived from GRIP bore hole temperature and ice core isotope  
13 profiles, *Tellus*, 47B, 624-629, 1995.

14 Kovacs, A., A. J. Gow, R. M. Morey, The in-situ dielectric constant of polar firn revisited, *Cold*  
15 *Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 23, 245-256, 1995.

16 Lanzario-Mancilla, O., and E. Gomez-Trevino, Synthetic radargrams from electrical  
17 conductivity and magnetic permeability variations, *J. App. Geophys.*, 34, 283-290, 1996.

1 Matsuoka, T., S. Fujita, S. Morishima, and S. Mae, Precise measurement of dielectric anisotropy  
2 in ice Ih at 39 GHz, *J. App. Phys.*, 81, 2344-2348, 1997 a.

3 Matsuoka, T., S. Fujita, and S. Mae, Dielectric properties of ice containing ionic impurities at  
4 Microwave frequencies, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 101B, 6219-6222, 1997 b.

5 Millar, D. H. M., Radio-echo layering in polar ice sheets, Ph.D. thesis, University of  
6 Cambridge, England, 1981a.

7 Millar, D. H. M., Radio-echo layering in polar ice sheets and past volcanic activity, *Nature*,  
8 292, 441-443, 1981b.

9 Millar, D. H. M., Acidity levels in ice sheets from radio echo-sounding. *Ann. Glaciol.* 3, 199-  
10 203, 1982.

11 Miners, W. D., and R. Mulvaney, Electrical logging and initial dating of the ice cores from  
12 Berkner 94/95, in *Filchner Ronne Ice Shelf Programme, Report No. 9*, edited by H.  
13 Oerter, pp. 64-66, Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven,  
14 Germany, 1995.

15 Miners, W. D., A. Hildebrand, S. Gerland, N. Blindow, D. Steinhage, and E. W. Wolff, Forward  
16 modelling of the Internal Layers in Radio Echo Sounding using Electrical and Density  
17 Measurements from Ice Cores, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 101B, 6201-6204, 1997.

1 Miners, W. D., Electromagnetic Reflections Inside Ice Sheets, Ph.D. thesis, Open University,  
2 Milton Keynes, England, 1998.

3 Moore, J. C., Geophysical aspects of ice core drilling in Antarctica, Ph.D. thesis, Council of  
4 National Academic Awards, British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, England, 1988a.

5 Moore, J. C., Dielectric variability of a 130 m Antarctic ice core: Implications for radar  
6 sounding, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 11, 95-99, 1988b.

7 Moore, J. C., and J. G. Paren, A new technique for dielectric logging of Antarctic ice cores, *J.*  
8 *Phys.*, 48, C1/155-C1/160, 1987.

9 Moore, J. C., J. G. Paren, and H. Oerter, Sea salt dependent electrical conduction in polar ice,  
10 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 19,803-19,812, 1992a.

11 Moore, J. C., E. W. Wolff, H. B. Clausen, and C. U. Hammer, The chemical basis for the  
12 electrical stratigraphy of ice, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 1887-1896, 1992b.

13 Moore, J. C., E. W. Wolff, H. B. Clausen, C. U. Hammer, M. R. Legrand, and K. Fuher,  
14 Electrical response of the Summit-Greenland ice core to ammonium, sulphuric acid and  
15 hydrochloric acid, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 21, 565-568, 1994.

16 Nielsen, P. H., Calculation of synthetic reflection seismograms in the frequency domain.  
17 *Geophys. Prosp.*, 26, 399-406, 1978.

1 Nishio, F. and H. Ohmae Internal radio-echo reflections of polar snow covers in relation to  
2 acidic layers and density fluctuations, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 6, 289-291, 1985.

3 O'Doherty, R. F. and N. A. Anstey, Reflections on amplitudes. *Geophys. Prosp.*, 19, 430-458,  
4 1971.

5 Paren, J. G., Dielectric properties of ice, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, England, 1970.

6 Paren, J. G., Reflection coefficient at a dielectric interface, *J. Glaciol.*, 27, 203-204, 1981.

7 Paren, J. G., and G. de Q. Robin, Internal reflections in polar ice sheets, *J. Glaciol.*, 14, 251-  
8 259, 1975.

9 Paterson, W. S. B., The Physics of Glaciers, 3rd ed., 480pp., Elsevier Science Ltd, Oxford,  
10 1994.

11 Peterson, R. A., W. R. Fillippone, and F. B. Coker, The synthesis of seismograms from well log  
12 data, *Geophysics*, 20, 516-538, 1955.

13 Petrenko, V. F., Electrical Properties of Ice, *CRREL Spec. Rep. 93-20*, Cold Regions Res. and  
14 Eng. Lab., Hanover, N. H., 1993.

15 Rees, W. G., and R. E. Donovan, Refraction correction for radio echo sounding of large ice

1 masses, *J. Glaciol.*, 38, 302-308, 1992.

2 Robin, G. de Q., S. Evans, and J. T. Bailey, Interpretation of Radio echo sounding in Polar Ice  
3 sheets, *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London, Ser A*, 265, 437-505, 1969.

4 Sihvola, A. H., E. Nyfors, and M. Tiuri, Mixing formulae and experimental results for the  
5 dielectric constant of snow, *J. Glaciol.*, 31, 163-170, 1985.

6 Sihvola, A. H., and I. V. Lindell, Polarizability modelling of Heterogeneous Media, in *Dielectric*  
7 *Properties of Heterogeneous Materials, Progress in Electromagnetics research book 6*  
8 *(PIERS 6)*, edited by A. Priou, Toulouse, France, 1992.

9 Skou, N., and F. Sondergaard, Radioglaciology A 60 MHz ice sounder system, *Rep. R169*, 124  
10 pp., Electromagnetics Inst., Technical University Denmark, 1976.

11 Staelin, D. H., A. W. Morgenthaler, and J. A. Kong, *Electromagnetic Waves*, Prentice Hall  
12 International, New Jersey, 1994.

13 Stiles, W. H., and F. T. Ulaby, Dielectric properties of snow, *CRREL Spec. Rep. 82-18*, Cold  
14 Regions Res. and Eng. Lab., Hanover, N. H., 1981.

15 Stock, J., Vorwärtsmodellierung von EMR-Spuren mit Bohrkerndaten vom Filchner-Ronne-  
16 Schelfeis, Antarktis, Diplomarbeit im fach Geophysik, Institut für Geophysik,  
17 Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Münster, Germany, 1993.

1 Thorsteinsson, T., J. Kipfstuhl, and H. Miller, Textures and fabrics in the GRIP ice core, *J.*  
2 *Geophys. Res.*, 102, 26,583-26,599, 1997.

3 Trorey, A. W., Theoretical seismograms with frequency and depth dependent absorption,  
4 *Geophysics*, 27, 766-785, 1962.

5 Wait, J. R., Transmission and reflection of electromagnetic waves in the presence of stratified  
6 media, *J. Res. Nat. Bureau of Standards*, 61, 205-232, 1958.

7 Wait, J. R., *Electromagnetic waves in stratified media*, Oxford University Press, Oxford,  
8 England, 1996.

9 Whillans, I. M., Radio echo layers and the recent stability of the West Antarctic ice sheet,  
10 *Nature*, 264, 152-155, 1976.

11 Wolff, E. W., Electrical stratigraphy of polar ice cores: principle, methods and findings, in  
12 *Physics of Ice Core Records*, edited by T. Hondoh, pp. 155-171, Hokkaido University  
13 Press, Sapporo, 2000.

14 Wolff, E. W., J. C. Moore, H. B. Clausen, C. U. Hammer, J. Kipfstuhl, and K. Fuhrer, Long  
15 term changes in the acid and salt concentrations of the Greenland Ice Core project ice  
16 core from electrical stratigraphy, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 16,249-16,263, 1995.

17 Wright, D.L., J. A. Bradley, and S.M. Hodge, Use of a New High speed Digital Data Acquisition

1 System in Airborne Ice Sounding, *IEEE Trans. on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 27,  
2 561-567, 1989.

3 Yoshida, M., K. Yamashita, and S. Mae, Bottom topography and internal layers in East  
4 Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica, from 179 MHz radio echo-sounding, *Ann.*  
5 *Glaciol.*, 9, 221-224, 1987.

---

6 W. D. Miners, Radio Communications Research Unit, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory,  
7 Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0QX, UK. (e-mail: [W.D.Miners@rl.ac.uk](mailto:W.D.Miners@rl.ac.uk) )

8 E. W. Wolff, British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3  
9 0ET, UK. (e-mail: [e.wolff@bas.ac.uk](mailto:e.wolff@bas.ac.uk) )

10 J. C. Moore, Arctic Centre, University of Lapland, Box 122, FIN-96101, Rovaniemi,  
11 Finland. (e-mail: [john.moore@urova.fi](mailto:john.moore@urova.fi) )

12 R. Jacobel, Dept. of Physics, St. Olaf College, 1500 St. Olaf Ave, Northfield, MN 55057,  
13 USA. (e-mail: [jacobel@stolaf.edu](mailto:jacobel@stolaf.edu) )

14 L. Hempel, Institut für Geophysik, Forschungsstelle für Physikalische Glaziologie,  
15 Universität Münster, Germany. (e-mail: [Ludwig.Hempel@t-online.de](mailto:Ludwig.Hempel@t-online.de) )

## 1 **Figure Captions**

2 **Figure 1.** Ice core properties as functions of depth. 1.a. The high frequency relative real  
3 permittivity, determined from a combination of density measurements at Site A and GISP2.  
4 1.b. The high frequency conductivity for the ice sheet at GRIP, determined from DEP  
5 measurements on the surface then altered to reflect values at ice sheet temperature.

6 **Figure 2.** The radio echo data against two-way travel time ( $t_{twt}$ ). 2.a. A single trace from the  
7 TUD radar. 2.b. A portion of the Z scope record from the TUD radar. 2.c. A portion of the  
8 Z scope record from the FPG radar. 2.d. A single trace from the FPG radar.

9 **Figure 3.** The pulse used to model the TUD radar; the vertical axis is in relative units.

10 **Figure 4.** Comparison of the raw results from the two models. The vertical axis is the two-  
11 way travel time ( $t_{twt}$ ), and the horizontal axis is the relative strength of the electric field  
12 arriving back at the top of the ice sheet. This has been normalized for both models. 4.a.  
13 Model one - primary reflections only without losses or multiples. 4.b. Model two - primary  
14 and multiple reflections with losses.

15 **Figure 5.** Comparison of the raw results from the two models for late travel times. The  
16 vertical axis is the two-way travel time ( $t_{twt}$ ), and the horizontal axis is the relative strength of  
17 the electric field arriving back at the top of the ice sheet. This has been normalized for both  
18 models. 5.a. Model one - primary reflections only without losses or multiples. 5.b. Model  
19 two - primary and multiple reflections with losses.

1 **Figure 6.** Comparison of the model output with the radar record. The vertical axis is the  
2 two-way travel time ( $t_{two}$ ). 6.a. The  $\sigma_{\infty}$  record after conversion to a Goupillaud medium. 6.b.  
3 The raw result from model one. 6.c. The processed result from model one. 6.d. A single  
4 trace from the TUD record.

5 **Figure 7.** Comparison of the model output with the radar record for late travel times. The  
6 vertical axis is the two-way travel time ( $t_{two}$ ). 7.a. The  $\sigma_{\infty}$  record after conversion to a  
7 Goupillaud medium. 7.b. The raw result from model one. 7.c. The processed result from  
8 model one. 7.d. A single trace from the TUD record.